UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,293	02/05/2004	Kazuyo Ikeda	000862.023447.	4458
5514 7590 03/11/2009 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, JAYESH A	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/771,293	IKEDA, KAZUYO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAYESH A. PATEL	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De	ecember 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologod in addordance with the practice and c	x parte gaayle, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,6,8-12,16 and 19-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,13-15,17,18 and 22 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	0.00.					
· ·						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
·— ·—	s have been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
b) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/16/2008.  5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) ☐ Other:						
1 aper 140(3)/14lali Date <u>03/10/2000</u> . 0) [ ] Other						

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated 12/11/2008 has been considered. This application has been made final in view of the amendments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niblack et al (US 6182069) hereafter Niblack in view of Enokida (US 5608862) hereafter Enokida as best understood by the examiner.

Regarding claim 1, Niblack discloses an image search apparatus (Fig 1) which searches for an image, the apparatus comprising:

image storage means for storing a plurality of images (Fig 1 Element 36 which stores pluralities of images);

region information storage means (Fig 1 Element 34 which stores thumbnails or partial images) for storing partial images included in the respective images stored in said image storage means in correspondence with the respective images;

region feature storage means (Fig 1 Element 35 which stores image

Application/Control Number: 10/771,293 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

features of the partial images or thumbnails) for storing features of the partial images stored said region information storage means in correspondence with the respective partial images;

receiving (query search in the QBIC) means for receiving a feature of a target image as a search condition to search for the target image (Fig 1 Element 23 which is query window used for receiving the search condition Col 3 Lines 54-55);

search means for searching for an image including a partial image which contains the feature received by said receiving means as a candidate image (Fig 1 Element 32 QBIC Engine which searches for a candidate image including a partial image or thumbnail images Col 4 Lines 6-18). Niblack discloses the display (Fig 1 Element 13 to display the candidate image). Niblack however does not expressly recite search result display means for displaying candidate image, enlarging the partial image corresponding to the search condition, and superimposing the enlarged partial image, of the candidate image, on to the displayed candidate image.

Enokida discloses search result display means for displaying candidate image, enlarging the partial image corresponding to the search condition at (Fig 4 where the image corresponding to the search condition element 42 is enlarged as seen in the below image 44, Col 6 line 44), and superimposing (combined (superimposed) image including both the images (first and second) is displayed as seen in Fig 4 and abstract) the enlarged partial

Art Unit: 2624

image, of the candidate image, on to the displayed candidate. Enokida discloses the apparatus and the image processing carried out by enlarging only the required portion to enlarged and display is faster and requires less hardware at (Col 6 lines 38-41 and Col 2 lines 1-8). Enokida and Niblack are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art, therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to generate enlarged view of the partial images with whole image (candidate image) together as taught by Enokida in the apparatus of Niblack for the above reasons.

Regarding Claim 2, Niblack and Enokida disclose the apparatus according to claim 1. Niblack further discloses wherein when a plurality of candidate images are obtained on the basis of a search result and plurality of candidate images in the form of a list (Element 13, Fig 6 Col 8 Lines 29-42, fig 9 Col 10 Lines 17-25 and Col 18 Lines 24-28). Enokida discloses the display means for displaying the plurality of images as seen in Fig 4 and abstract. Enokida also discloses a scenario where if the reduction in the image is carried out at (Col 1 lines 39-47) Thus Enokida and Niblack together would achieve the invention of claim 2.

Regarding claim 5, NIblack and Enokida disclose the apparatus according to claim 1. Enokida further disclose wherein said search result display means superimpose a plurality of partial images (combined (superimposed) image including both the images (first and second) is displayed as seen in Fig 4

Art Unit: 2624

and abstract) while keeping a relative positional relationship between the plurality of partial images (Fig 6 elements s1 and s3 discloses the positional relationship between the images).

Regarding claim 7, Niblack and Enokida disclose the apparatus according to claim 1. Enokida discloses further the sizes at Fig 6 elements s3 and s4. Enokida discloses the unified (combined) image as seen in Fig 4 and abstract.

Claim 18 is a corresponding method claim of Claim 1. See the explanation of Claim 1.

Claim 22 is a corresponding computer readable recording medium claim of Claim 1. See the explanation of Claim 1.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niblack in view of Enokida and in further view of Karaki et al (US 5612715) hereafter Karaki as best understood by the examiner.

Regarding Claim 13, Niblack and Enokida disclose the apparatus according to claim 1. Enokida discloses the display as seen in Fig 4 and abstract. Niblack and Enokida are silent and however do not expressly disclose wherein the apparatus further comprises switching means for switching display of a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/771,293 Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

candidate images in said search result display means, and said search result display means alternately displays the plurality of candidate images at the same position one by one on the basis of a switching instruction from said switching means.

Karaki discloses display switching means (M25 Fig 3, Figs 14a-14c, Col 10 lines 34-36 where pluralities of images are switched between the screens). Karaki disclose that the switching display view improves the viewability of the user at (Col 10 lines 13) in a plurality of images being viewed. Niblack, Enokida and Karaki are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art, therefore it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have increase the view ability of the user in the apparatus of Niblack and Enokida for the above reasons.

Regarding Claim 14, Niblack and Enokida disclose the apparatus according to claim 1. Niblack and Enokida both disclose the image being displayed.

Enokida discloses the image being displayed of a predetermined size at Fig 6 elements s3 and s4. Karaki discloses the image size in (Figs 14a-14c).

Regarding Claim 15, See (Figs 14a-14c) in Karaki where the partial images are circumscribed with a rectangle.

Art Unit: 2624

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niblack in view of Enokida and in further view of Brown et al. (US 6356908) hereafter Brown.

Regarding Claim 17, Niblack and Enokida discloses the apparatus according to claim 1. Niblack also disclose region feature storage means stores, as a feature of the image, at least one of concept information expressing a concept obtained from the partial image in (Fig 5). Niblack however do not disclose wherein the region feature storage means stores, as a feature of the image, at least one of concept information expressing the concept obtained from the partial image, text information expressing the concept of the partial image and an image feature expressing a feature of the partial image.

Brown discloses wherein the region feature storage means stores, as a feature of the image, at least one of concept information expressing the concept obtained from the partial image, text information expressing the concept of the partial image and an image feature expressing a feature of the partial image.

(Fig 5,6 and Figs 9 and 10). Brown discloses presenting a set of thumbnail images of the linked pages in the database near the links to the linked pages at (Col 2 Lines 18-20). Niblack, Enokida and Brown are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor and are analogous art. The suggestion/motivation would be that a textual name followed by a short textual description of the linked page does not provide sufficient information to enable

one to make an intelligent decision as to open the link at **(Col 1 Lines 55-59)** disclosed by Brown. Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Brown in the Query system and method of Niblack and Enokida to get the claimed invention.

## Other cited prior art

The other relevant prior art to the subject matter not relied on are (US 6392658), (US 6912327), (US 6992661), (US 6731826) and (US 20050047656).

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Application/Control Number: 10/771,293 Page 9

Art Unit: 2624

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAYESH A. PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-1227. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7.00am to 4.30 pm (5-4-9). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

03/05/2009 /Jayesh A Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Jingge Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624